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ABSTRACT

Devolution entails the decentralization of power and distribution of resources from the national government to the county governments. To ensure that national and county governments are providing good governance, public participation is vital. Studies done indicate that there is no information pertaining to devolution, county governments, and public participation in the Kenyan county governments. In this study, Kitui county has been used to assess the county assembly initiative and the mass media initiative and their effectiveness in encouraging public participation. The exploratory research design was used to collect data from the target population of Kitui Central Sub County. Data was collected from 100 respondents using structured questionnaires. From the results received, it is evident that Kitui County Government has taken steps to enhance public participation by implementing Mass Media initiatives and County Assembly initiatives. Although, most of the respondents saw the need for the county government to put in more effort to ensure that the public is involved in governance, establishing efficient and effective public engagement initiatives will help strengthen public participation in devolved structures.
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1. Introduction

According to Odhiambo and Taifa (2009), public participation entails having all stakeholders in the community involved in development initiatives and decision-making processes that affect them. The stakeholders influence policy formulation, investment choice, management decisions, and alternative design. In most developed nations, public participation is a legal requirement in running national and local governments. It improves service delivery, brings the people closer to the government, and ensures accountability by local governments (A and D, 2008). Public involvement is vital in running any government institution since research shows that it brings a sense of belonging. Normally, people would reject new ideas imposed on them, however, involving them in making new choices will help meet their needs since marginalized people can air their views freely, hence bringing about sustainable ideas (Mwendwa, 2017).

Even though public participation is essential in running governments, an information gap on public participation and its implementation in county governments still exists. In 2012, a baseline report on governance status revealed that only 29% of the population understood devolution and how it was structured in their local governments and 24.7% understood how devolved governments worked (Finch and Omolo, 2015; Lewis, 2015). Less than one percent of the public had participated in county development policies that affected them. Also, most of the interviewees said...
that most of their preferences were on voting and electing their leaders and no other county functions (Society for International Development, 2012). It is evident that even though Kenya is a devolved country, public participation is not being implemented in ensuring good governance. According to the 2010 Kenyan Constitution, public engagement is supposed to be the pillar in running county governments (Lewis, 2015). The negligence of public involvement by county governments led to carrying out this research, aiming at finding out the effectiveness of County Assembly Initiatives and Mass Media initiatives in promoting public engagement in Kenyan County Governments. The study is based on the fact that little information on the topic exists despite its importance in ensuring good leadership. The study focuses on Kitui Central Subcounty in Kitui County.

2. Literature Review

2.1 The role of Mass Media in Enhancing Public Engagement

Mass media plays a significant part in shaping public attitude and public opinion and encouraging societal change. In the contemporary world, mass media has set its place in society, becoming the fourth estate. It has various communication forms and channels (television, radio, newspapers, cinema, internet websites, and magazines) that educate the public and create awareness on important issues in the community (Johnson, 2010). The varied forms of communication play a significant role in the community and can be used to convey specific information to specific societal groups through customization (Mwendwa, 2017). Through mass media, people connect with others around them or even in far regions, especially through the internet. People's perceptions and thoughts on various matters are framed by the media (Dyer, 2008). Mass media acts as a protector of the public's interests, a bridge between the people and the government, and a people's watchdog. It also informs the public on daily occurrences such as sting operations, natural hazards, and terrorist attacks, hence creating public awareness. The media's key objective should be informing, entertaining, and educating the public. Its communication forms should be designed in a manner that brings society together and promotes unity (Wekesa, 2012). Looking at all the benefits mass media brings to society; Kenyan county governments must declare it an instrument and pillar that needs to be embraced and maintained to enhance public involvement in local governments. Mass media platforms that can be used are; social media, live platforms, publications, and websites (Kimathi, 2017).

2.2 The Role of County Assembly in Promoting Public Involvement

Once the 2010 Kenyan Constitution was promulgated, the national government moved most of the decision-making processes to the county governments. The constitution made it clear that the objective of bringing devolution was to encourage public participation by ensuring accountability, transparency, and responsibility in county functions where citizens can share their views and interact, hence framing the public decision-making process (Roe, 2008). In the national governments, the national assembly (parliament) is responsible for public participation whereas at the county level it is the responsibility of the county assembly. The 2010 constitution has listed provision on how public involvement is to be done, however, the provisions cannot be applied at the county level since its ideas are new, and most counties face challenges in implementing them (Mwendwa, 2017). For example, county governments have no organ set aside to guarantee public participation. Different countries use different styles in involving their citizens which leads to congruence and disharmony (Kimathi, 2017). The public is not aware that they are needed in many other functions other than voting. County assemblies must come up with strategies that can educate
the public on their role in policy formulation and legislation. With no county assembly initiatives in place, public participation will never be practised in Kenyan counties. The media will claim that the government is not willing to cooperate, and that will not create a good image of the government (Bichou and Gray, 2006). Therefore, county assemblies are the key drivers of public involvement in good governance. They can implement initiatives such as inter-county benchmarking, conferences, county public participation bills, and committee reports to improve the people's involvement in policymaking and legislation.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Methods

The research method employed was exploratory research design as it provides a basis for easy understanding of the topic being researched (Wekesa, 2012). By applying the research method, a hypothesis on public participation in county governance was established. The targeted population was the people of Kitui Central Sub County with citizens above 18 years old. The participants were five MCAs (Member of the County Assembly), five Ward Administrators, eighty members of the public, and ten village administrators. A formula by Mugenda and Mugenda was used to arithmetically select one hundred individuals from the 70,736 registered voters in the region. Random sampling that is systemically stratified was used to select the respondents since different categories of people were needed in the research.

3.2 Data Collection and Analysis

Structured questionnaires were used to collect data. They were hand-delivered to the respondents, and the research went to pick them later once filled. Research assistants were available to assist in the distribution, filling, and collection processes in the villages since the population here is made up of mostly uneducated people.

Descriptive analysis was done on the quantitative data, which included the calculation of means, frequencies, and percentages. A thematic process was used in analysing qualitative data that came up when carrying out the interviews. The project findings were then tabulated and also presented in charts. Tables and charts made it easier to analyse and compare responses. Graphics and direct quotations have been used to display the findings of the qualitative data.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Mass Media Initiatives

The variable for assessing the effectiveness of mass media in encouraging public participation was Kitui county government's implementation of mass media in promoting public involvement as shown in Table 1 below;

Table 1: Mass Media Initiatives Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass Media Initiatives</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kitui County uses mass media initiatives such as social media and live forums</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
<td>18 (18%)</td>
<td>29 (29%)</td>
<td>45 (45%)</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mass Media Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass Media Initiatives</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass Media services by disseminating information improved efficiency in conveying information</td>
<td>9 (9%)</td>
<td>44 (44%)</td>
<td>29 (29%)</td>
<td>15 (15%)</td>
<td>3 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass media initiatives enhance the efficiency of public governance significantly</td>
<td>33 (33%)</td>
<td>32 (32%)</td>
<td>18 (18%)</td>
<td>13 (13%)</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass media initiatives the county's reach in empowerment, citizen engagement, and communication</td>
<td>26 (26%)</td>
<td>55 (55%)</td>
<td>15 (15%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitui county government uses mass media to connect with its people</td>
<td>44 (44%)</td>
<td>48 (48%)</td>
<td>6 (6%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Implementation of mass media initiatives is effective in getting to a large number of the population</td>
<td>39 (39%)</td>
<td>53 (53%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quantitative data regarding mass media initiatives implementation and its effectiveness in promoting the people’s involvement in county governance was collected from the structured part of the questionnaires. Feedback received from the five questions on mass media initiatives had a standard deviation of 0.388 and a mean of 3.76. The results indicate that majority of the interviewees agreed that mass media initiatives enhanced public engagement in local governments. A Kruskal-Wallis rank test was used to find out whether different respondents had different perceptions on the role of mass media in encouraging public engagement in county governments. The difference in people's perception towards the role of mass media in county governments was significant (Kruskal-Wallis H=9.291, p=0.026, and df=3). Ward administrators held the highest mean, followed by MCAs, then the public. The results indicate that MCAs, members of the public, and ward administrators believe that mass media influences public engagement in the counties.

4.2 County Assembly Initiatives

The result from the determination of the part played by the Kitui County Assembly in promoting public participation are indicated in Table 2 below;

Table 2: County Assembly Initiatives Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Assembly Initiatives</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Members of the County Assembly are aware that they are required by the constitution to adopt and promote public participation</td>
<td>16 (16%)</td>
<td>54 (54%)</td>
<td>18 (18%)</td>
<td>10 (10%)</td>
<td>16 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The county assembly is fully engaged in issues affecting service delivery and governance</td>
<td>20 (20%)</td>
<td>64 (64%)</td>
<td>10 (10%)</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The feedback from the five questions posed in the determination of the role of the Kitui County Assembly in promoting public engagement had a mean of 3.938 and a standard deviation of 0.328. The results indicate that respondents believe that initiatives put in place by the county assembly to promote public participation are helpful. Kruskal-Wallis H test was used to determine whether respondents held varying views on the effectiveness of the county assembly in promoting public engagement in local governments (Iberi, 2014). The difference between people's perceptions was significant on the role of the county assembly on public involvement ($X^2(3) = 9.107$, $p=.028$). Village administrators held the highest rank (74.45), then MCAs with 58.30, ward administrators had 56.30, whereas the public had 46.66. The results show that the public and wards administrators did not believe that county assembly initiatives were effective as much as the MCAs and village administrators did. The results also indicate that county assembly initiatives towards public participation are in place in Kitui County. According to interviewees, Kitui County Government has put in efforts to ensure public involvement in governance. "The public is allowed to witness county legislative processes in the county assembly" [R5]. "not much has been done in this devolved government...we can only witness the processes but cannot actively participate" [R30]. "You can share your comments of ideas to the county...for me, that is participation" [R19]. The majority of the respondents believed that the county assembly was making efforts to improve public involvement in governance. Devolution comes with benefits that need to improve people's lives, hence the need for their participation (Ngigi and Busolo, 2019; Muriuki, 2012).

5. Conclusion

To enhance public participation in devolved governments, the county assembly and mass media play a significant role. From the study, county assembly initiatives and mass media initiatives put in place by the county government of Kitui have proven to be effective. MCAs and ward administrators have supported the initiatives put in place to encourage people to take part in policy legislation and decision-making processes that affect them in the county. However, much has to be done to ensure there is a continuous commitment. It can be done by implementing interventions like, live forums, publications, and social media. Suggestions and opinions from the people can then be used to make decisions and legislate policies. In reviewing the role of the county assembly, there are efforts put in place such as enacting public participation bills, benchmarking, and workshops. However, the strategies have not been fully implemented. The County assembly needs to take a stand and remain firm when holding public participation forums to improve governance.
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